



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/317,802	05/24/1999	CHRISTOPHER R. UHLIK	015685-022	9569

7590

04/19/2002

GREGORY D. CALDWELL
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BLVD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

EXAMINER

CORSARO, NICK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2684

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002

#21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/317,802

Applicant(s)
Christopher R. Uhlík

Examiner
Nick Corsaro

Group Art Unit
2684



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nick Corsaro

(3) _____

(2) Michael A. Proksch, Reg # 43,021

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 18, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 35

Identification of prior art discussed:
Pentikainen et al. (6,185,412)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr Proksch called to discuss the claims and see if some of the limitations could be clarified. We discusses claim 35, and how to better define the applicants limitations. I gave him some examples of how to better clarify the claimed limitations and told him the changes woul better define the claim but not necessarily put the claim in allowable form.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Nick Corsaro 4/18/02